# Stat 515: Introduction to Statistics 

Chapter 7

## Confidence Intervals

- Often, we do not know the population parameter, $\mu, \rho$ or $\sigma_{x}$
- We use our sample statistics, $\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{p}}, \boldsymbol{s}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ to make inference on the population parameter, $\mu, \rho$ or $\sigma_{x}$


## Confidence Intervals

- First, we will consider an interval estimate which we call a confidence interval
(This is our plus/minus from chapter 1)
point estimate $\pm$ margin of error
$=$ point estimate $\pm\binom{$ confidence }{ coefficient }$*\binom{$ Standard }{ Error }


## Telling Which Parameter We're After

- As statisticians, or data scientists, it's our job to hear a problem and decide what we're after
- We call the parameter of interest the target parameter

| Parameter | Point Estimate | Key Phrase | Type of Data |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mu$ | $\bar{x}$ | Mean, Average | Quantitative |
| $\rho$ | $\hat{p}$ | Proportion, percentage, <br> fraction, rate | Qualitative (Categorical) |
| $\sigma^{2}$ | $s_{x}^{2}$ | Variance, variability, <br> spread | Quantitative |

## Confidence Intervals for Population Proportions on YouTube

- Intro:
- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3ReWri ih3M


## Recall Sampling Distributions for Sampling Proportions

- Recall: the mean of the sampling distribution for a sample proportion will always equal the population proportion: $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\hat{p}}=\boldsymbol{\rho}$
- The standard error, the standard deviation of the sample proportion, is:

$$
\sigma_{\hat{p}}=\sqrt{\frac{\rho(1-\rho)}{n}}
$$

## Confidence Intervals: Step One

- Assumptions:

1. Data must be obtained through randomization
2. We MUST make sure that $n \hat{p} \geq 15$ and
$n(1-\hat{p}) \geq 15$. This ensures that $\hat{p}$ follows a bell shaped distribution

- Recall Chapter 4 and the shape of the binomial dist.


## Confidence Intervals: Step Two

- Recall: $\hat{p}$ is our point-estimate for the population proportion
- Recall we consider $\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}}$ when we don't know $\rho$ for the standard error as $\hat{p}$ can estimate the value of $\rho$


## Confidence Intervals: Step Two

- $\hat{p}$ is our point-estimate for the population proportion
- Our 'best' guess for the true population proportion, $\rho$, is our sample proportion, $\hat{p}$.


## Confidence Intervals: Step Two

- $Z_{\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} \sqrt{\frac{(\hat{p}(1-\hat{p}))}{n}}$ is our margin of error
- $z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ is the confidence coefficient and is the $z$ value such that $P\left(Z<Z_{\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}\right)=1-\frac{\alpha}{2}$
- $\sqrt{\frac{(\hat{p}(1-\hat{p}))}{n}}$ is the estimated standard deviation


## Confidence Intervals - Step Two

- The most common values of $Z$ are listed below
- Level of confidence $=(1-\propto) * 100 \%$
- Error Probability $=\propto=1$ - Level of confidence

| Confidence | Error Probability $(\propto)$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}$ | From Table |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}$ From $\mathbb{R}$ |  |  |  |
| .9 | .1 | 1.645 | 1.644854 |
| .95 | .05 | 1.96 | 1.959964 |
| .99 | .01 | 2.58 | 2.57829 |

- Our interval will get larger when the margin of error increases

1) When we increase confidence $\rightarrow$ increase $z \rightarrow$ widen interval
2) When we decrease confidence $\rightarrow$ decrease $z \rightarrow$ narrow interval

## Confidence Intervals: Step Two

- $Z_{\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} \sqrt{\frac{(\hat{p}(1-\hat{p}))}{n}}$ is our margin of error
- As $\boldsymbol{n}$ increases, the margin of error decreases causing the width of the confidence interval to narrow
- As $\boldsymbol{n}$ decreases, the margin of error increases causing the width of the confidence interval to grow wider


## Confidence Intervals: Margin of Error

- $Z_{\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} \sqrt{\frac{(\hat{p}(1-\hat{p}))}{n}}$ is our margin of error
- As the confidence level decreases, z decreases causing the margin of error to decrease, causing the width of the confidence interval to narrow
- As the confidence level increases, z increases causing the margin of error to increase, causing the width of the confidence interval to grow wider


## Confidence Intervals - Step Two

- A fishing metaphor:
- As $\mathbf{n}$ increases $\rightarrow$ confidence interval narrows
- As $\boldsymbol{n}$ decreases $\rightarrow$ confidence interval widens
- Think about fishing in a pond with a net. If there are more fish you can use a smaller net to catch the fish.
- In our case, when our sample size is larger we can use a smaller interval to catch our parameter.


## Confidence Intervals - Step Two

- A fishing metaphor:
- Increase confidence $\rightarrow$ confidence interval narrows
- Decrease confidence $\rightarrow$ confidence interval widens
- Think about fishing in a pond with a net. We want to be more certain that we'll catch a fish we need a bigger net.
- In our case, when we increase confidence to be more certain that we'll catch the parameter, we need a bigger interval.


## Confidence Intervals Bounds

$$
\hat{p} \pm z_{\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} \sqrt{\frac{(\hat{p}(1-\hat{p}))}{n}}
$$

Lower Bound $=\hat{p}-z \frac{\alpha}{2} \sqrt{\frac{(\hat{p}(1-\hat{p}))}{n}}$
Upper Bound $=\hat{p}+z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p}))}{n}}$

## Confidence Intervals Bounds

$$
\hat{p} \pm \frac{\alpha}{2} \sqrt{\frac{(\hat{p}(1-\hat{p}))}{n}}
$$

"We are - \% confident that the true population proportion, $\rho$, is between the lower bound and upper bound."

## Confidence Intervals



- We choose our values such that
- Our point estimate is the mean, the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile
- Our lower bound is the $\frac{\alpha}{2}$ th percentile
- Our upper bound is the $1-\frac{\alpha^{t}}{2}$ percentile


## How We Found the Common Z's: 90\%



Lower Bound
Upper Bound

- For a $90 \%$ confidence interval upper bound, we need to find the $z$ with a percentile of

$$
1-\frac{\alpha}{2}=1-\frac{1-\text { confidence }}{2}=1-\frac{1-.90}{2}=1-\frac{.10}{2}=.9500
$$

- If we look this up in the z-table we see that a z-score between 1.64 or 1.65 gives us a value very close to $.9500 \rightarrow 1.645$


## How We Found the Common Z's: 90\%



- For a $90 \%$ confidence interval upper bound, we need to find the $z$ with a percentile of
$1-\frac{\alpha}{2}=1-\frac{1-\text { confidence }}{2}=1-\frac{1-.90}{2}=1-\frac{.10}{2}=.9500$
- To look this up in R: qnorm $(.9500,0,1)=1.644854$


## How We Found the Common Z's: 90\%

- Lower Bound: If we look this up in the z-table we see that a $z$-score between -1.65 or -1.64 gives us a value very close to .0500
- Upper Bound: If we look this up in the z-table we see that a z -score between 1.65 or 1.64 gives us a value very close to .9500
- Since it's in the middle we average 1.64 and 1.65
- This is why we have plus or minus $\mathrm{z}=1.645$ for a 90\% confidence interval


## How We Found the Common Z's: 95\%



Lower Bound

- For a 95\% confidence interval upper bound, we need to find the $z$ with a percentile of
$1-\frac{\alpha}{2}=1-\frac{1-\text { confidence }}{2}=1-\frac{1-.95}{2}=1-\frac{.05}{2}=.9750$
- If we look this up in the z-table we see that a zscore of 1.96 gives us a value very close to .9750


## How We Found the Common Z's: 95\%



Lower Bound
Upper Bound

- For a $95 \%$ confidence interval upper bound, we need to find the $z$ with a percentile of
$1-\frac{\alpha}{2}=1-\frac{1-\text { confidence }}{2}=1-\frac{1-.95}{2}=1-\frac{.05}{2}=.9750$
- To look this up in R: qnorm $(.9750,0,1)=1.959964$


## How We Found the Common Z's: 95\%

- Lower Bound: If we look this up in the z-table we see that a z -score of -1.96 gives us a value very close to 0250
- Upper Bound: If we look this up in the z-table we see that a $z$-score of 1.96 gives us a value very close to 9750
- This is why we have plus or minus $\mathrm{z}=1.96$ for a $95 \%$ confidence interval


## How We Found the Common Z's: 99\%



Lower Bound

- For a 99\% confidence interval upper bound, we need to find the $z$ with a percentile of
$1-\frac{\alpha}{2}=1-\frac{1-\text { confidence }}{2}=1-\frac{1-.99}{2}=1-\frac{.01}{2}=.9950$
- If we look this up in the z-table we see that a zscore of 2.58 gives us a value very close to .9950


## How We Found the Common Z's: 99\%



Lower Bound
Upper Bound

- For a $99 \%$ confidence interval upper bound, we need to find the $z$ with a percentile of
$1-\frac{\alpha}{2}=1-\frac{1-\text { confidence }}{2}=1-\frac{1-.99}{2}=1-\frac{.01}{2}=.9950$
- To look this up in R: qnorm $(.9500,0,1)=2.575829$


## How We Found the Common Z's: 99\%

- Lower Bound: If we look this up in the z-table we see that a $z$-score of -2.58 gives us a value very close to 0500
- Upper Bound: If we look this up in the z-table we see that a $z$-score of 2.58 gives us a value very close to 9500
- This is why we have plus or minus $\mathrm{z}=2.58$ for a $99 \%$ confidence interval


## How We Find an Uncommon Z: 98\%



Lower Bound
Upper Bound

- For a 98\% confidence interval lower bound, we need to find the $z$ with a percentile of
$1-\frac{\alpha}{2}=1-\frac{1-\text { confidence }}{2}=1-\frac{1-.98}{2}=1-\frac{.02}{2}=.9900$
- If we look this up in the z-table we see that a z-score of 2.33 gives us a value very close to .9900


## How We Found the Common Z's: 98\%



Lower Bound
Upper Bound

- For a $98 \%$ confidence interval upper bound, we need to find the $z$ with a percentile of
$1-\frac{\alpha}{2}=1-\frac{1-\text { confidence }}{2}=1-\frac{1-.98}{2}=1-\frac{.02}{2}=.9900$
- To look this up in R: qnorm $(.9900,0,1)=2.326348$


## How We Found the Common Z's: 98\%

- Lower Bound: If we look this up in the z-table we see that a z -score of -2.33 gives us a value very close to 0100
- Upper Bound: If we look this up in the z-table we see that a $z$-score of 2.33 gives us a value very close to 9900
- This is why we have plus or minus $\mathrm{z}=2.33$ for a $98 \%$ confidence interval


## Examples

## Example

- A random sample of MLB home games showed that the home teams won 1335 of 2429 games.
- Our sample proportion $=\hat{p}=\frac{1335}{2429}=.5496$
- We should know this is a proportion problem because we're considering a qualitative (categorical) random variable
- Find the $95 \%$ confidence interval for the population proportion


## Example

- Step One:
- Check Assumptions:
- $n * \hat{p}=2429 * .5496=1334.9784 \geq 15$
- $n *(1-\hat{p})=2429 * .4504=1094.0216 \geq 15$
- Thus, it is safe to assume the distribution of $\hat{p}$ has a bell shaped distribution
- The data is from a random sample


## Example

- Step Two:
- $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{p} \pm Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{(\hat{p}(1-\hat{p}))}{n}} \\
\begin{aligned}
.5496 & \pm(1.96) \sqrt{\frac{.5496(.4504)}{2429}} \\
& =(.5298, .5694)
\end{aligned}
\end{gathered}
$$

- We are $95 \%$ confident that the true population proportion of home team wins is between 52.98 and 56.94 percent.


## Example

- A random sample of MLB home games showed that the home teams won 1335 of 2429 games.
- $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ :

$$
(.5298, .5694)
$$

- We see here that there is a small home field advantage because all of the values in our $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ are above 0.5.
- We know that 0.5 is interesting because it means more than half the time or most


## Example

- A random sample of MLB home games showed that the home teams won 1335 of 2429 games.
- 99\% CI:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{p} \pm z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{(\hat{p}(1-\hat{p}))}{n}} \\
.549 \pm(2.58) \sqrt{\frac{.549(.451)}{2429}}=(.5236, .5756)
\end{gathered}
$$

- We are 99\% confident that the true population proportion of home team wins is between 52.36 and 57.56 percent.


## Example

- A random sample of MLB home games showed that the home teams won 1335 of 2429 games.
- 99\% Cl:
(.5236, .5756)
- Still, we see here that there is a small home field advantage but we note the interval is larger


## Wilson's Adjustment for Estimating $\rho$

- Wilson's Adjustment is a nice trick to 'correct' our confidence interval when n isn't extremely large and performs poorly when $\rho$ is near 0 or 1

$$
\tilde{p} \pm z_{\tilde{\alpha}} \sqrt{\frac{(\tilde{p}(1-\tilde{p}))}{n}}
$$

- Where $\tilde{p}=\frac{\mathrm{x}+2}{\mathrm{n}+4}$ is the adjusted proportion of observations


## Example

- Let's complete our previous example about MLB home games with Wilson's Adjustment this time
- The only difference here will be how we calculate the sample proportion: $\tilde{p}=\frac{x+2}{n+4}$ instead of $\hat{p}=\frac{x}{n}$
- Note: we shouldn't see a drastic change because we aren't in the case where n isn't extremely large and performs poorly when $\rho$ is near 0 or 1


## Example

- A random sample of MLB home games showed that the home teams won 1335 of 2429 games.
- Our sample proportion $=\tilde{p}=\frac{1335+2}{2429+4}=.5495$
- We should know this is a proportion problem because we're considering a qualitative (categorical) random variable
- Find the $95 \%$ confidence interval for the population proportion


## Example

- Step One:
- Check Assumptions:
- $n * \hat{p}=2429 * .5496=1334.9784 \geq 15$
- $n *(1-\hat{p})=2429 * .4504=1094.0216 \geq 15$
- Thus, it is safe to assume the distribution of $\hat{p}$ has a bell shaped distribution
- The data is from a random sample


## Example

- Step Two:
- $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{p} \pm Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{(\tilde{p}(1-\tilde{p}))}{n}} \\
\qquad \begin{array}{r}
.5495 \pm(1.96) \sqrt{\frac{.5495(.4505)}{2429}} \\
\\
=(.5297, .5693)
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
$$

- We are $95 \%$ confident that the true population proportion of home team wins is between 52.97 and 56.93 percent.


## Example

- A random sample of MLB home games showed that the home teams won 1335 of 2429 games.
- $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ :

$$
(.5297, .5693)
$$

- We see here that there is a small home field advantage because all of the values in our $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ are above 0.5.
- We know that 0.5 is interesting because it means more than half the time or most


## Example in R

Below is a function you can load into $R$ :

```
prop.int<-function(conf.level, x, n, Wilson=FALSE){
    if(Wilson){
        phat=(x+2)/(n+4)
    }else{
        phat=x/n
    }
    z.crit = qnorm(1-(1-conf.level)/2);
    std.error = sqrt(phat*(1-phat)/n);
    MOE=z.crit*std.error;
    c(phat-MOE, phat+MOE)
}
```


## Example in R

- You can call the function as below which will provide the $95 \%$ confidence interval for a population proportion from a sample where $\mathbf{1 3 3 5}$ of $\mathbf{2 4 2 9}$ games were won from the home team:
prop.int(.95, 1335, 2429,Wilson=FALSE)
OR with Adjustment
prop.int(.95, 1335, 2429,Wilson=TRUE)


## Determining the Sample Size

- Say we want to set sampling error at SE with $100(1-\alpha) \%$ confidence:

Set: $\quad Z_{\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)} \sqrt{\frac{(\hat{p}(1-\hat{p}))}{n}}=S E$
Solve for $\mathrm{n}: \mathrm{n}=\frac{\left(z_{\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}^{2}(\hat{p}(1-\hat{p}))\right)}{S E^{2}}$

Note: n is maximized for $\hat{p}=.5$

## Recall Sampling Distributions for Sampling Means

- The mean of the sampling distribution for a sample mean
$\mu_{\bar{x}}$
$=$ the mean of all possible sample means
$=\mu_{x}=$ the population mean
- The standard error, the standard deviation of all sample means, is:

$$
\sigma_{\bar{x}}=\frac{\sigma_{x}}{\sqrt{n}}
$$

## Confidence Intervals

## For the Population Mean

- When we talk about confidence intervals for the population mean we have two approaches

1. When we know $\sigma_{x}$ (we are rarely in this case)
2. When we don't know $\sigma_{x}$

## Confidence Intervals When We Know $\sigma_{x}$

- We use our sample means to make inference on the population mean

$$
\bar{x} \pm Z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)
$$

- $\bar{x}$ is our point-estimate for the population mean
- $z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\left(\frac{\sigma_{X}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ is our margin of error


## Confidence Intervals When We Know $\sigma_{x}$

- $\bar{x}$ is our point-estimate for the population mean
- Our 'best' guess for the true population, mean is our sample mean


# Confidence Intervals: Margin of Error When We Know $\sigma_{x}$ 

- $Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ is our margin of error
- As n increases, $\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ decreases, causing the margin of error to decrease causing the width of the confidence interval to narrow
- As n decreases, $\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ increases, causing the margin of error to increase causing the width of the confidence interval to widen


## Confidence Intervals: Margin of Error

 When We Know $\sigma_{x}$- $Z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ is our margin of error
- As the confidence level decreases, z decreases causing the margin of error to decrease, causing the width of the confidence interval to narrow
- As the confidence level increases, z increases causing the margin of error to increase, causing the width of the confidence interval to grow wider


## Confidence Intervals Bounds

 When We Know $\sigma_{x}$$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Lower Bound }=\bar{x}-z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\
& \text { Upper Bound }=\bar{x}+z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We are --\% confident that the true population mean, $\mu_{x}$, is between the lower and upper bound.

Note: there's an incredible likeliness to confidence intervals for proportions

## Confidence Intervals Bounds When We Know $\sigma_{x}$ - R code

 Below is a function you can load into $R$ :z.int<-function(conf.level, xbar, sigma, n)\{
z.crit = qnorm(1-(1-conf.level)/2);
std.error = sigma/sqrt(n);
MOE=z.crit*std.error;
c(xbar-MOE, xbar+MOE)
\}

## Confidence Intervals Bounds When We Know $\sigma_{x}$ - R code

- You can call the function as below which will provide the $95 \%$ confidence interval for a population mean from a sample of 3 that had mean 5 and known population standard deviation 3:
conf.level=. 95 \#Confidence Level
xbar=5 \#Sample Mean
sigma=2 \#Population Standard Deviation
n=3 \#Sample Size
z.int(conf.level, xbar, sigma, n)


## Determining the Sample Size

- Say we want to set sampling error at SE with $100(1-\alpha) \%$ confidence:

Set: $\quad Z_{\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}\left(\frac{\sigma_{X}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)=S E$
Solve for $\mathrm{n}: ~ \mathrm{n}=\frac{\left(z_{\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}^{2}\left(\sigma_{x}\right)\right)}{S E^{2}}$

## Confidence Intervals Bounds When We Don't Know $\sigma_{x}$

- Now, onto the more realistic situation where we don't know the population standard deviation.


## Confidence Intervals When We Don't Know $\sigma_{x}$

- We use our sample means to make inference on the population mean

$$
\bar{x} \pm t_{\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-1\right)}\left(\frac{s_{x}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)
$$

- $\bar{x}$ is our point-estimate for the population mean
- $t_{\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-1\right)}\left(\frac{s_{x}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ is our margin of error
$-s_{x}$ is the sample standard deviation


## Confidence Intervals When We Don't Know $\sigma_{x}$

- $\bar{x}$ is our point-estimate for the population mean
- Our 'best' guess for the true population, mean is our sample mean


## Confidence Intervals: Margin of Error

 When We Don't Know $\sigma_{x}$- $t_{\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-1\right)}\left(\frac{s_{x}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ is our margin of error
- As $\mathbf{n}$ increases, t decreases and $\left(\frac{s_{x}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ decreases, causing the margin of error to decrease causing the width of the confidence interval to narrow
- As $\boldsymbol{n}$ decreases, t increases and $\left(\frac{s_{x}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ increases, causing the margin of error to increase causing the width of the confidence interval to widen

Confidence Intervals: Margin of Error When We Don't Know $\sigma_{x}$

- $t_{\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-1\right)}\left(\frac{s_{x}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ is our margin of error
- As the confidence level decreases, $t$ decreases causing the margin of error to decrease, causing the width of the confidence interval to narrow
- As the confidence level increases, $t$ increases causing the margin of error to increase, causing the width of the confidence interval to grow wider


## Confidence Intervals Bounds

 When We Don't Know $\sigma_{x}$ Lower Bound $=\bar{x}-t_{\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-1\right)}\left(\frac{s_{x}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$Upper Bound $=\bar{x}+t_{\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-1\right)}\left(\frac{s_{x}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$

- We are --\% confident that the true population mean, $\mu_{\mathrm{x}}$, is between the lower and upper bounds.


## Confidence Intervals When We Don't Know $\sigma_{x}$

- $t$ is based on the $t$ distribution which is a lot like the normal distribution but with fatter tails
- You can find the correct t-value by finding the cross-hair of degrees of freedom, $\mathrm{n}-1$, and the two tailed alpha
- http://www.sjsu.edu/faculty/gerstman/StatPrimer/t-table.pdf


## Finding $t$ for Our Confidence Intervals

- Say we were trying to find the t-value for a 95\% confidence with $\mathrm{n}=10$
- This means $\alpha=1-.95=.05$ and the degrees of freedom = 10-1 = 9
- $t_{1-\frac{.05}{2}, 9}=2.262$

| cum. prob | $t_{\text {so }}$ | $t_{.75}$ | $t_{\text {s }}$ | $t_{35}$ | $t_{\text {. }}^{\text {g }}$ | $t_{\text {g }}$ | $t_{\text {g }}^{\text {g75 }}$ | $t_{\text {ts }}$ | $t_{\text {g95 }}$ | $t_{\text {g99 }}$ | $t_{\text {¢9995 }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| one-tail | 0.50 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.025 | 0.01 | 0.005 | 0.001 | 0.0005 |
| two-tails | 1.00 | 0.50 | 0.40 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.05 B | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.002 | 0.001 |
| df |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.376 | 1.963 | 3.078 | 6.314 | 12.71 | 31.82 | 63.66 | 318.31 | 636.62 |
| 2 | 0.000 | 0.816 | 1.061 | 1.386 | 1.886 | 2.920 | 4.303 | 6.965 | 9.925 | 22.327 | 31.599 |
| 3 | 0.000 | 0.765 | 0.978 | 1.250 | 1.638 | 2.353 | 3.182 | 4.541 | 5.841 | 10.215 | 12.924 |
| 4 | 0.000 | 0.741 | 0.941 | 1.190 | 1.533 | 2.132 | 2.776 | 3.747 | 4.604 | 7.173 | 8.610 |
| 5 | 0.000 | 0.727 | 0.920 | 1.156 | 1.476 | 2.015 | 2.571 | 3.365 | 4.032 | 5.893 | 6.869 |
| 6 | 0.000 | 0.718 | 0.906 | 1.134 | 1.440 | 1.943 | 2.447 | 3.143 | 3.707 | 5.208 | 5.959 |
|  | 0.000 | 0.711 | 0.896 | 1.119 | 1.415 | 1.895 | 2.365 | 2.998 | 3.499 | 4.785 | 5.408 |
|  | 0.000 | 0.706 | 0.889 | 1.108 | 1.397 | 1.860 | 2.306 | 2.896 | 3.355 | 4.501 | 5.041 |
| A 91 | 0.000 | 0.703 | 0.883 | 1.100 | 1.383 | 1.833 | 2.262 | 2.821 | 3.250 | 4.297 | 4.781 |
| 101 | u.uvu | 0.700 | 0.879 | 1.093 | 1.372 | 1.812 | 2.228 | 2.764 | 3.169 | 4.144 | 4.587 |

## Zoom In

| cum. prob | $t_{\text {. } 50}$ | $t_{.75}$ | $t_{\text {. } 80}$ | $t_{\text {B5 }}$ | $t_{\text {.90 }}$ | $t_{\text {. }}^{\text {9 }}$ | $t_{\text {. } 975}$ | $t_{\text {t.99 }}$ | $t_{\text {. } 995}$ | $t_{\text {.999 }}$ | $t_{\text {.9995 }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| one-tail | 0.50 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.025 | 0.01 | 0.005 | 0.001 | 0.0005 |
| two-tails | 1.00 | 0.50 | 0.40 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.05 B | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.002 | 0.001 |
| df |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.376 | 1.963 | 3.078 | 6.314 | 12.71 | 31.82 | 63.66 | 318.31 | 636.62 |
| 2 | 0.000 | 0.816 | 1.061 | 1.386 | 1.886 | 2.920 | 4.303 | 6.965 | 9.925 | 22.327 | 31.599 |
| 3 | 0.000 | 0.765 | 0.978 | 1.250 | 1.638 | 2.353 | 3.182 | 4.541 | 5.841 | 10.215 | 12.924 |
| 4 | 0.000 | 0.741 | 0.941 | 1.190 | 1.533 | 2.132 | 2.776 | 3.747 | 4.604 | 7.173 | 8.610 |
| 5 | 0.000 | 0.727 | 0.920 | 1.156 | 1.476 | 2.015 | 2.571 | 3.365 | 4.032 | 5.893 | 6.869 |
| 6 | 0.000 | 0.718 | 0.906 | 1.134 | 1.440 | 1.943 | 2.447 | 3.143 | 3.707 | 5.208 | 5.959 |
| 7 | 0.000 | 0.711 | 0.896 | 1.119 | 1.415 | 1.895 | 2.365 | 2.998 | 3.499 | 4.785 | 5.408 |
| 8 | 0.000 | 0.706 | 0.889 | 1.108 | 1.397 | 1.860 | 2.306 | 2.896 | 3.355 | 4.501 | 5.041 |
| A 91 | 0.000 | 0.703 | 0.883 | 1.100 | 1.383 | 1.833 | 2.262 | 2.821 | 3.250 | 4.297 | 4.781 |
| 101 | v.uvu | 0.700 | 0.879 | 1.093 | 1.372 | 1.812 | 2.228 | 2.764 | 3.169 | 4.144 | 4.587 |

- $A$ is the degrees of freedom, $n-1$
- $B$ is the significance level - for confidence intervals we look for $\alpha$ in the two-tail row
- C is the t -value associated with the provided degrees of freedom and significance level


## Finding $t$ for Our Confidence Intervals

- Say we were trying to find the t-value for a 99\% confidence with $\mathrm{n}=9$
- This means $\alpha=1-.99=.01$ and the degrees of freedom $=9-1=8$
- $t_{1-\frac{.01}{2}, 8}=3.355$

| cum. prob | $t_{\text {s0 }}$ | $t_{.75}$ | $t_{\text {. }}$ | $t_{35}$ | $t_{\text {t }}^{30}$ | $t_{\text {as }}$ | $t_{\text {g75 }}$ | $t_{99}$ | $t^{\text {g95 }}$ | $t_{999}$ | $t_{\text {g }}^{\text {g995 }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| one-tail | 0.50 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.025 | 0.01 | 0.005 | 0.001 | 0.0005 |
| two-tails | 1.00 | 0.50 | 0.40 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.01 | B0.002 | 0.001 |
| df |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.376 | 1.963 | 3.078 | 6.314 | 12.71 | 31.82 | 63.66 | 318.31 | 636.62 |
| 2 | 0.000 | 0.816 | 1.061 | 1.386 | 1.886 | 2.920 | 4.303 | 6.965 | 9.925 | 22.327 | 31.599 |
| 3 | 0.000 | 0.765 | 0.978 | 1.250 | 1.638 | 2.353 | 3.182 | 4.541 | 5.841 | 10.215 | 12.924 |
| 4 | 0.000 | 0.741 | 0.941 | 1.190 | 1.533 | 2.132 | 2.776 | 3.747 | 4.604 | 7.173 | 8.610 |
| 5 | 0.000 | 0.727 | 0.920 | 1.156 | 1.476 | 2.015 | 2.571 | 3.365 | 4.032 | 5.893 | 6.869 |
| 6 | 0.000 | 0.718 | 0.906 | 1.134 | 1.440 | 1.943 | 2.447 | 3.143 | 3.707 | 5.208 | 5.959 |
| 7 | 0 non | 0711 | ก 896 | 1119 | 1415 | 1895 | 2365 | 2998 | 3499 | 4785 | 5408 |
| A81 <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  | 0.000 | 0.706 | 0.889 | 1.108 | 1.397 | 1.860 | 2.306 | 2.896 | 3.355 | C 4.501 | 5.041 |
|  | 0.000 | 0.703 | 0.883 | 1.100 | 1.383 | 1.833 | 2.262 | 2.821 | 3.250 | 4.297 | 4.781 |
|  | 0.000 | 0.700 | 0.879 | 1.093 | 1.372 | 1.812 | 2.228 | 2.764 | 3.169 | 4.144 | 4.587 |

## Zoom In



- $A$ is the degrees of freedom, $n-1$
- $B$ is the significance level - for confidence intervals we look for $\alpha$ in the two-tail row
- C is the t -value associated with the provided degrees of freedom and significance level


## Finding $t$ for Our Confidence Intervals

- Say we were trying to find the t-value for a 90\% confidence with $\mathrm{n}=11$
- This means $\alpha=1-.90=.10$ and the degrees of freedom = 11-1 = 10
- $t_{1-\frac{10}{2}, 10}=1.812$

| cum. prob | $t_{\text {s0 }}$ | $t_{.75}$ | $t_{\text {. }}^{\text {so }}$ | $t_{85}$ | $t_{\text {. } 90}$ | $t_{\text {.95 }}$ | $t$. 975 | $t .99$ | $t_{\text {, } 995}$ | $t_{\text {g99 }}$ | 95 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| one-tail | 0.50 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.025 | 0.01 | 0.005 | 0.001 | 0.0005 |
| two-tails | 1.00 | 0.50 | 0.40 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.10 | B 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.002 | 0.001 |
| df |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 1.376 | 1.963 | 3.078 | 6.314 | 12.71 | 31.82 | 63.66 | 318.31 | 636.62 |
| 2 | 0.000 | 0.816 | 1.061 | 1.386 | 1.886 | 2.920 | 4.303 | 6.965 | 9.925 | 22.327 | 31.599 |
| 3 | 0.000 | 0.765 | 0.978 | 1.250 | 1.638 | 2.353 | 3.182 | 4.541 | 5.841 | 10.215 | 12.924 |
| 4 | 0.000 | 0.741 | 0.941 | 1.190 | 1.533 | 2.132 | 2.776 | 3.747 | 4.604 | 7.173 | 8.610 |
| 5 | 0.000 | 0.727 | 0.920 | 1.156 | 1.476 | 2.015 | 2.571 | 3.365 | 4.032 | 5.893 | 6.869 |
| 6 | 0.000 | 0.718 | 0.906 | 1.134 | 1.440 | 1.943 | 2.447 | 3.143 | 3.707 | 5.208 | 5.959 |
| 7 | 0.000 | 0.711 | 0.896 | 1.119 | 1.415 | 1.895 | 2.365 | 2.998 | 3.499 | 4.785 | 5.408 |
| 8 | 0.000 | 0.706 | 0.889 | 1.108 | 1.397 | 1.860 | 2.306 | 2.896 | 3.355 | 4.501 | 5.041 |
| 9 | ก.00n | 0.703 | ก 883 | 1.100 | 1.383 | 1833 | 2762 | 2821 | 3.250 | 4297 | 4.781 |
| A 101 | 0.000 | 0.700 | 0.879 | 1.093 | 1.372 | 1.812 | $\mathrm{C}_{2} 228$ | 2.764 | 3.169 | 4.144 | 4.587 |

## Zoom In

| $\begin{array}{r} \text { cum. prob } \\ \text { one-tail } \\ \text { two-tails } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} t_{.50} \\ 0.50 \\ 1.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} t_{.75} \\ 0.25 \\ 0.50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} t_{.80} \\ 0.20 \\ 0.40 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} t_{85} \\ 0.15 \\ 0.30 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} t_{.90} \\ 0.10 \\ 0.20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} t_{.95} \\ 0.05 \\ 0.10 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{\substack{t_{.975} \\ 0.025}}$ | $\begin{array}{r} t_{.99} \\ 0.01 \\ 0.02 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} t_{\text {t.995 }} \\ 0.005 \\ 0.01 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} t_{\text {.999 }} \\ 0.001 \\ 0.002 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} t_{\text {s999 }} \\ 0.0005 \\ 0.001 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| df |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 2 | 0.000 0.000 | 1.000 0.816 | 1.376 1.061 | 1.963 1.386 | 3.078 1.886 | 6.314 2.920 | 12.71 4.303 | 31.82 6.965 | 63.66 9.925 | 318.31 22.327 | 636.62 31.599 |
| 3 | 0.000 | 0.765 | 0.978 | 1.250 | 1.638 | 2.353 | 3.182 | 4.541 | 5.841 | 10.215 | 12.924 |
| 4 | 0.000 | 0.741 | 0.941 | 1.190 | 1.533 | 2.132 | 2.776 | 3.747 | 4.604 | 7.173 | 8.610 |
| 5 | 0.000 | 0.727 | 0.920 | 1.156 | 1.476 | 2.015 | 2.571 | 3.365 | 4.032 | 5.893 | 6.869 |
| 6 | 0.000 | 0.718 | 0.906 | 1.134 | 1.440 | 1.943 | 2.447 | 3.143 | 3.707 | 5.208 | 5.959 |
| 7 | 0.000 | 0.711 | 0.896 | 1.119 | 1.415 | 1.895 | 2.365 | 2.998 | 3.499 | 4.785 | 5.408 |
| 8 | 0.000 | 0.706 | 0.889 | 1.108 | 1.397 | 1.860 | 2.306 | 2.896 | 3.355 | 4.501 | 5.041 |
| - 9 | ก 0 On | 0703 | $\bigcirc 883$ | $1.10 n$ | 1.383 | 1833 | 2762 | 2871 | 3.350 | 4297 | 4781 |
| A 101 | 0.000 | 0.700 | 0.879 | 1.093 | 1.372 | 1.812 | C 2.228 | 2.764 | 3.169 | 4.144 | 4.587 |

- $A$ is the degrees of freedom, $n-1$
- $B$ is the significance level - for confidence intervals we look for $\alpha$ in the two-tail row
- C is the t -value associated with the provided degrees of freedom and significance level

Confidence Interval Bounds When We Don't Know $\sigma_{x}$

$$
\bar{x} \pm t_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-1}\left(\frac{s_{x}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)
$$

Lower Bound $=\bar{x}-t_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-1}\left(\frac{s_{x}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$
Upper Bound $=\bar{X}+t_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-1}\left(\frac{s_{x}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$

## Example

- Suppose a random sample of 38 yearly average temperature measures in New Haven, CT. Among the sampled years the sample mean temperature was 51.0474 degrees fahrenheit with a sample standard deviation of 1.3112 .
- Our sample mean $=\bar{x}=51.0474$
- Our sample standard deviation $=s_{x}=1.3112$


## Example

- Suppose a random sample of 38 yearly average temperature measures in New Haven, CT. Among the sampled years the sample mean temperature was 51.0474 degrees Fahrenheit with a sample standard deviation of 1.3112 .
- Check Assumptions
- $\mathrm{n}>30$ so it is safe to assume the distribution of $\bar{x}$ is bell-shaped
- The data is from a random sample


## Example

- $95 \%$ Confidence Interval for population the true population mean yearly average temperature reading in New Haven is:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{x} \pm t_{1-\frac{05}{2}, 38-1}\left(\frac{s_{x}}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\
=51.0474 \pm(2.021)\left(\frac{1.3112}{\sqrt{38}}\right) \\
(50.61752,51.47728)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Example

## (50.61752, 51.47728)

- We are $95 \%$ confident that the true population mean yearly average temperature reading in New Haven is between 50.61752 and 51.47728 degrees Fahrenheit


## Confidence Intervals Bounds

 When We Don't Know $\sigma_{x}$ - R code Below is a function you can load into $R$ :t.int<-function(conf.level, $x b a r, s x, n)\{$
t.crit $=\mathrm{qt}(1-(1-c o n f . l e v e l) / 2, \mathrm{n}-1)$;
std.error = sx/sqrt(n);
MOE=t.crit*std.error;
c(xbar-MOE, xbar+MOE)
\}

## Confidence Intervals Bounds When We Don't Know $\sigma_{x}-\mathrm{R}$ code

- You can call the function as below which will provide the $95 \%$ confidence interval for a population mean from a sample of 38 that had mean 51.0474 and sample standard deviation 1.3112:
conf.level=. 95 \#Confidence Level
xbar=51.0474 \#Sample Mean
sx=1.3112 \#Sample Standard Deviation
n=38 \#Sample Size
t.int(conf.level, xbar, sx, n)


## 100 $(1-\alpha) \%$ Confidence Interval for $\sigma^{2}$

- Recall: $\mathrm{X}_{n-1}^{2}=\left(\frac{(n-1) s^{2}}{\sigma_{x}^{2}}\right)$
- If we choose $\chi_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}$ such that $P\left(\chi_{n-1}^{2} \leq \chi_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}\right)=\frac{\alpha}{2}$
and $\chi_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}$ such that $P\left(\chi_{n-1}^{2} \geq \chi_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}\right)=\frac{\alpha}{2}$ then
we have $P\left(\chi_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2} \leq \chi_{n-1}^{2} \leq \chi_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}\right)=1-\alpha$


## $100(1-\alpha) \%$ Confidence Interval for $\sigma^{2}$

- Recall: $\mathrm{X}_{n-1}^{2}=\left(\frac{(n-1) s^{2}}{\sigma_{x}^{2}}\right)$
$P\left(\chi_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2} \leq\left(\frac{(n-1) s^{2}}{\sigma_{x}^{2}}\right) \leq \chi_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}\right)$

$$
=P\left(\frac{1}{\chi_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}} \geq\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}^{2}}{(n-1) s^{2}}\right) \geq \frac{1}{\chi_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}}\right)
$$

$$
=P\left(\frac{1}{\chi_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}} \leq\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}^{2}}{(n-1) s^{2}}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\chi_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}}\right)
$$

$$
=P\left(\frac{(n-1) s^{2}}{\chi_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}} \leq \sigma_{x}^{2} \leq \frac{(n-1) s^{2}}{\chi_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}}\right)
$$

## 100 $(1-\alpha) \%$ Confidence Interval for $\sigma^{2}$

- Assumptions are:
- The sample is selected from the target population
- The population of interest has a relative frequency distribution that is approximately normal

$$
\frac{(n-1) s^{2}}{\chi_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}} \leq \sigma_{x}^{2} \leq \frac{(n-1) s^{2}}{\chi_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}}
$$

## 100 $(1-\alpha) \%$ Confidence Interval for $\sigma$

- We can take the square root of all sides to get a confidence interval for $\sigma$

$$
\sqrt{\frac{(n-1) s^{2}}{\chi_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}}} \leq \sigma_{x}^{2} \leq \sqrt{\frac{(n-1) s^{2}}{\chi_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}}}
$$

## 100 $(1-\alpha) \%$ Confidence Interval for $\sigma$ - R code

## Below is a function you can load into $R$ :

var.int<-function(conf.level, $s x, n)\{$ chisq.critL = qchisq(1-(1-conf.level)/2,n-1); chisq.critU = qchisq((1-conf.level)/2,n-1); lower=( $n-1)^{*}\left(s x^{\wedge} 2\right) /$ chisq.critL
upper=( $n-1)^{*}\left(s x^{\wedge} 2\right) /$ chisq.critU
c(lower,upper)

## 100(1- $\alpha$ )\% Confidence Interval for $\sigma$ - R code

- You can call the function below which will provide the $95 \%$ confidence interval for a population variance from the New Haven temperature data from sample of 38 that had mean 51.0474 and sample standard deviation 1.3112:
conf.level=. 95 \#Confidence Level
sx=1.3112 \#Sample Standard Deviation
n=38 \#Sample Size
var.int(conf.level, sx, n)

Answer: (1.142705, 2.877642)
We are $95 \%$ confident that the true population variance is between 1.142705 and 2.877642 )

# $100(1-\alpha) \%$ Confidence Interval for $\sigma$ - R code 

Below is a function you can load into $R$ :
sd.int<-function(conf.level, sx, n)\{ chisq.critL = qchisq(1-(1-conf.level)/2,n-1); chisq.critU = qchisq((1-conf.level)/2,n-1); lower=sqrt((n-1)*(sx^2)/chisq.critL) upper=sqrt((n-1)*(sx^2)/chisq.critU) c(lower,upper)

## 100 $(1-\alpha) \%$ Confidence Interval for $\sigma$ - R code

- You can call the function below which will provide the $95 \%$ confidence interval for a population standard deviation from the New Haven temperature data from sample of 38 that had mean 51.0474 and sample standard deviation 1.3112:
conf.level=. 95 \#Confidence Level
sx=1.3112 \#Sample Standard Deviation
$\mathrm{n}=38$ \#Sample Size
sd.int(conf.level, sx, n)

Answer: (1.068974, 1.696361)
We are $95 \%$ confident that the true population variance is between 1.068974 and 1.696361

## $100(1-\alpha) \%$ Confidence Interval

$$
\text { for } \sigma_{x}^{2} / \sigma_{y}^{2}
$$

- Recall: $F_{n_{x}-1, n_{y}-1}=\frac{\left(\frac{\left(s_{x}^{2}\right.}{s_{y}^{2}}\right)}{\left(\frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}}{\sigma_{y}^{2}}\right)}$
- If we choose $F_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ such that $P\left(F_{n_{x}-1, n_{y}-1} \leq F_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right)=\frac{\alpha}{2}$ and $F_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}$ such that $P\left(F_{n_{x}-1, n_{y}-1} \geq F_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right)=\frac{\alpha}{2}$ then we have $P\left(F_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq F_{n_{x}-1, n_{y}-1} \leq F_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right)=1-\alpha$


## $100(1-\alpha) \%$ Confidence Interval

 for $\sigma_{x}^{2} / \sigma_{y}^{2}$- Recall: $F_{n_{x}-1, n_{y}-1}=\frac{\left(\frac{s_{x}^{2}}{s_{y}^{2}}\right)}{\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}^{2}}{\sigma_{y}^{2}}\right)}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(F_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq \frac{\left(\frac{s_{x}^{2}}{s_{y}^{2}}\right)}{\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}^{2}}{\sigma_{y}^{2}}\right)} \leq F_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right)=P\left(\frac{1}{F_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \geq \frac{\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}^{2}}{\sigma_{y}^{2}}\right)}{\left(\frac{s_{x}^{2}}{s_{y}^{2}}\right)} \geq \frac{1}{F_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}\right) \\
=P\left(\frac{1}{F_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \leq \frac{\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}^{2}}{\sigma_{y}^{2}}\right)}{\left(\frac{s_{x}^{2}}{s_{y}^{2}}\right)} \leq \frac{1}{F_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}\right)=\left(\frac{\left(\frac{s_{x}^{2}}{s_{y}^{2}}\right)}{F_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \leq\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}^{2}}{\sigma_{y}^{2}}\right) \leq \frac{\left(\frac{s_{x}^{2}}{s_{y}^{2}}\right)}{F_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}}\right)=1-\alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

## 100(1- $\alpha$ )\% Confidence Interval

$$
\text { for } \sigma_{x}^{2} / \sigma_{y}^{2}
$$

- We can take the square root of all sides to get a confidence interval for $\sigma_{x}^{2} / \sigma_{y}^{2}$

$$
\frac{\left(\frac{s_{x}^{2}}{s_{y}^{2}}\right)}{F_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \leq\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}^{2}}{\sigma_{y}^{2}}\right) \leq \frac{\left(\frac{s_{x}^{2}}{s_{y}^{2}}\right)}{F_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}
$$

## $100(1-\alpha) \%$ Confidence Interval

$$
\text { for } \sigma_{x}^{2} / \sigma_{y}^{2}
$$

- Iterpreting the confidence interval $\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}^{2}}{\sigma_{y}^{2}}\right)$
- If all values of the interval are bigger than one: $\sigma_{x}^{2}>\sigma_{y}^{2}$
- If all values of the interval are less than one: $\sigma_{x}^{2}<\sigma_{y}^{2}$
- If the interval contains one it is possible that $\sigma_{x}^{2}=\sigma_{y}^{2}$


## 100 $(1-\alpha) \%$ Confidence Interval for $\sigma_{x}^{2} / \sigma_{y}^{2}$ - R code

Below is a function you can load into $R$ :
F.int<-function(conf.level, sx, nx, sy, ny)\{
sratio $=s x^{\wedge} 2 / s y^{\wedge} 2$
F.critL $=q f(1-(1-c o n f . l e v e l) / 2, n x-1, n y-1)$;
F.critU = qf((1-conf.level)/2,nx-1,ny-1);
lower=sratio/F.critL
upper=sratio/F.critU
c(lower,upper)

# $100(1-\alpha) \%$ Confidence Interval for $\sigma_{x}^{2} / \sigma_{y}^{2}$ - R code 

- You can call the function below which will provide the $95 \%$ confidence interval for the ratios of the population variances from two groups. Say we have a sample, X , of 32 that had sample standard deviation 1.45 and a sample, Y , of 38 that had sample standard deviation 1.57:
conf.level=. 95 \#Confidence Level
sx=1.45 \#Sample Standard Deviation
$n x=32$
sy=1.57 \#Sample Standard Deviation
$n y=38$
F.int(conf.level, sx, nx, sy, ny)

Answer: $(.4338582,1.7125010)$ we are $95 \%$ confident that the ratio of the population variances is between . 4338582 and 1.7125010; 1 is on the confidence interval, so it is possible that the variances are equal.

## Summaries

## Confidence Intervals

| Assumptions | Point <br> Estimate | Margin of Error | Margin of Error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Random Sample <br> 2. $n \hat{p} \geq 15$ <br> And <br> $n(1-\hat{p}) \geq 15$ | $\hat{p}$ | $Z$ | $\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{2}$ <br> $\frac{1}{n}$ |

- We are --\% confident that the true population proportion lays on the confidence interval.


## Example in R

Below is a function you can load into $R$ :

```
prop.int<-function(conf.level, x, n, Wilson=FALSE){
    if(Wilson){
        phat=(x+2)/(n+4)
    }else{
        phat=x/n
    }
    z.crit = qnorm(1-(1-conf.level)/2);
    std.error = sqrt(phat*(1-phat)/n);
    MOE=z.crit*std.error;
    c(phat-MOE, phat+MOE)
}
```


## Confidence Intervals known $\sigma_{x}$

| Assumptions | Point <br> Estimate | Margin of Error | Margin of Error |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1. Random Sample | $\bar{X}$ | $\sigma_{\bar{x}}=\frac{\sigma_{x}}{\sqrt{n}}$ | $\bar{x} \pm Z \frac{\alpha}{2}\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ |
| 2. $n>30$ OR the <br> population is bell <br> shaped |  |  |  |

- We are --\% confident that the true population mean lays on the confidence interval.


## Confidence Intervals Bounds When We Know $\sigma_{x}$ - R code

 Below is a function you can load into $R$ :z.int<-function(conf.level, xbar, sigma, n)\{
z.crit = qnorm(1-(1-conf.level)/2);
std.error = sigma/sqrt(n);
MOE=z.crit*std.error;
c(xbar-MOE, xbar+MOE)
\}

## Confidence Intervals unknown $\sigma_{x}$

| Assumptions | Point <br> Estimate | Margin of <br> Error | Margin of Error |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Random Sample | $\bar{X}$ | $\sigma_{\bar{x}}=\frac{s_{x}}{\sqrt{n}}$ | $\bar{x} \pm t_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}, n-1}\left(\frac{s_{x}}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ |
| 2. $n>30$ OR the <br> population is bell <br> shaped |  |  |  |

- We are --\% confident that the true population mean lays on the confidence interval.


## Confidence Intervals Bounds

 When We Don't Know $\sigma_{x}$ - R code Below is a function you can load into $R$ :t.int<-function(conf.level, $x b a r, s x, n)\{$
t.crit $=\mathrm{qt}(1-(1-c o n f . l e v e l) / 2, \mathrm{n}-1)$;
std.error = sx/sqrt(n);
MOE=t.crit*std.error;
c(xbar-MOE, xbar+MOE)
\}

## Confidence Intervals unknown $\sigma_{x}$

## Assumptions <br> Margin of Error



- We are --\% confident that the true population variance lays on the confidence interval.


## 100 $(1-\alpha) \%$ Confidence Interval for $\sigma$ - R code

## Below is a function you can load into $R$ :

var.int<-function(conf.level, $s x, n)\{$ chisq.critL = qchisq(1-(1-conf.level)/2,n-1); chisq.critU = qchisq((1-conf.level)/2,n-1); lower=( $n-1)^{*}\left(s x^{\wedge} 2\right) /$ chisq.critL
upper=( $n-1)^{*}\left(s x^{\wedge} 2\right) /$ chisq.critU
c(lower,upper)

## Confidence Intervals unknown $\sigma_{x}$

## Assumptions

## Margin of Error

1. Random Sample
2. Data follows the Normal Distribution

$$
\sqrt{\frac{\left((n-1) s_{x}^{2}\right)}{\chi_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}}} \leq \sigma \leq \sqrt{\frac{\left((n-1) s_{x}^{2}\right)}{\chi_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{2}}}
$$

- We are --\% confident that the true population standard deviation lays on the confidence interval.


# $100(1-\alpha) \%$ Confidence Interval for $\sigma$ - R code 

Below is a function you can load into $R$ :
sd.int<-function(conf.level, sx, n)\{ chisq.critL = qchisq(1-(1-conf.level)/2,n-1); chisq.critU = qchisq((1-conf.level)/2,n-1); lower=sqrt((n-1)*(sx^2)/chisq.critL) upper=sqrt((n-1)*(sx^2)/chisq.critU) c(lower,upper)

## Confidence Intervals unknown $\sigma_{x}$

## Assumptions <br> Margin of Error

1. Random Sample
2. Data follows the Normal Distribution

$$
\frac{\left(\frac{s_{x}^{2}}{s_{y}^{2}}\right)}{F_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \leq\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}^{2}}{\sigma_{y}^{2}}\right) \leq \frac{\left(\frac{s_{x}^{2}}{s_{y}^{2}}\right)}{F_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}
$$

- We are --\% confident that the true ratio of population variances lays on the confidence interval.


## $100(1-\alpha) \%$ Confidence Interval

$$
\text { for } \sigma_{x}^{2} / \sigma_{y}^{2}
$$

- Iterpreting the confidence interval $\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}^{2}}{\sigma_{y}^{2}}\right)$
- If all values of the interval are bigger than one: $\sigma_{x}^{2}>\sigma_{y}^{2}$
- If all values of the interval are less than one: $\sigma_{x}^{2}<\sigma_{y}^{2}$
- If the interval contains one it is possible that $\sigma_{x}^{2}=\sigma_{y}^{2}$


## 100 $(1-\alpha) \%$ Confidence Interval for $\sigma_{x}^{2} / \sigma_{y}^{2}$ - R code

Below is a function you can load into $R$ :
F.int<-function(conf.level, sx, nx, sy, ny)\{
sratio $=s x^{\wedge} 2 / s y^{\wedge} 2$
F.critL $=q f(1-(1-c o n f . l e v e l) / 2, n x-1, n y-1)$;
F.critU = qf((1-conf.level)/2,nx-1,ny-1);
lower=sratio/F.critL
upper=sratio/F.critU
c(lower,upper)

